9

Agenda Item 5

12 March 2020

ODS Q3 and Year to Date Report

Recommendation	Agree?	Comment
1) That the Council tasks the City Centre Manager to reinvigorate and republicise its previous scheme for allowing members of the public to use shop toilets in the City Centre and the Shareholder and Joint Venture Group requires ODS to coordinate with these efforts and capitalise on any benefits accordingly.	Yes	Whilst the recommendation does make requirements of ODS it should be noted that this recommendation is primarily aimed at the Council as ODS client, not ODS itself. ODS is happy to work with the City Centre Manager on any reinvigorated scheme.  It is also important to stress that the focus of ODS continues to be on improving user experience through providing more attendants to ensure cleaner toilets and reduced anti-social behaviour, and that any City Centre toilet scheme should be in addition to, rather than a substitute for, current facilities.
2) That the Shareholder and Joint Venture Group continues with its dashboard reporting, but with the following changes: i i) to report on the outcome of bids by value rather than number, ii) to disaggregate the figures by ODS service area, and iii) to add another metric to the dashboard reports by presenting the results when Council contracts are excluded.	Yes	Happy to accept this recommendation
3) That the Shareholder and Joint Venture Group seeks ODS to report each quarter on the value of work undertaken by the TECKAL company, and the Trading Company.	Yes	This is a worthwhile suggestion and can be accommodated

## **Housing Company Update Report**

Recommendation	Agree?	Comment
1) That the Shareholder and Joint Venture Group seeks the Housing Company to outline a model through which tenant spending on heating can instead be invested in energy-efficiency measures, and which balances the cost of delivering any such measures with the need to provide tangible reductions in heating bills to tenants.	Partially	We are actively looking at schemes to increase energy efficiency and it may be one such as that Nottingham City Homes may be able to contribute on its own or as part of a mix of measures, but it is not possible to make any such commitment at present.
2) That the Shareholder and Joint Venture Group considers a report, when suitable data is available, detailing the impacts of the Housing Company building to differing levels of energy-efficiency, particularly with reference to the potential impact on returns to the Council and the proportion of social housing delivered.	Yes	Work on this is ongoing, and it is hoped that the work being undertaken in the Blackbird Leys regeneration will move us forward in relation to understanding the financial viability of different models and standards of energy efficiency. With Covid, progress on this is likely to be delayed, however.

## 22 June 2020

## ODS 2019/20 End of Year Report

Recommendation	Agree?	Comment
1) That the Council, when determining whether to recommence paused capital projects, gives weighting to the social value effects of capital projects as criteria. In particular, the impact of reflationary spending, the benefits to the community of the capital project, and the impact on returns to the Council.	Partially	The Council gives consideration to social values at two points in a capital scheme's process: in the initial decision of whether to proceed or not, and then through the social value elements embedded within its procurement processes. In balancing its decision whether to unpause capital projects, social value issues will again be

## Shareholder and Joint Venture Group Responses to Companies Scrutiny Panel Recommendations

considered but within the round of which discretionary schemes best fulfil the Council's ambitions in its Corporate Plan rather than honing in on its own.
Whilst an important consideration, the impact of a decisions on the returns to ODS are not determinative on their own. Also, the Council has not paused capital projects which are cash generative.

This page is intentionally left blank